arXiv:1405.3350v1 [math.AP] 14 May 2014

HEAT INVARIANTS OF THE PERTURBED POLYHARMONIC STEKLOV PROBLEM

GENQIAN LIU

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, the People's Republic of China. E-mail address: liugqz@bit.edu.cn

Abstract. For a given bounded domain Ω with smooth boundary in a smooth Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$, we establish a procedure to get all the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel associated with the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m $(m \geq 1)$ as $t \to 0^+$. We also explicitly calculate the first four coefficients of this asymptotic expansion. These coefficients (i.e., heat invariants) provide precise information for the area and curvatures of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ in terms of the spectrum of the perturbed polyharmonic Steklov problem. In particular, when $m = 1$ and $q \equiv 0$ our work recovers the previous corresponding results in [51] and [43].

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional, smooth, complete Riemannian manifold with metric tensor $g = (g_{jk})$, and let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathcal{M}$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $(-\Delta_g)^m$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$, be a polyharmonic operator, where the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with the metric g is given in local coordinates by

$$\Delta_g u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sqrt{|g|}\, g^{jk} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

and (g^{jk}) is the inverse of the metric tensor (g_{jk}) and $|g| = \det g$. Let $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a real valued potential. Here and in what follows $H^s(\Omega)$ and $H^s(\partial\Omega)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$, are the standard L^2-based Sobolev spaces in Ω and its boundary $\partial\Omega$, respectively, and ν is the inward unit normal to the boundary. For $u \in H^m(\Omega)$, we denote

$$\gamma u = (u\big|_{\partial\Omega}, ((-\Delta_g)u)\big|_{\partial\Omega}, \cdots, ((-\Delta_g)^{m-1}u)\big|_{\partial\Omega}).$$

Throughout the paper, we will assume that $n \geq 2m$ and 0 is not the spectrum of the operator

$$(-\Delta_g)^m + q : H_0^m(\Omega) \to H^{-m}(\Omega) = (H_0^m(\Omega))'.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P20, 53C44, 58J35, 58J50

Key words and phrases. Perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator; Perturbed polyharmonic Steklov eigenvalue; Heat kernel; Asymptotic expansion; Curvature.

It follows from [38] that for any $\phi = (\phi_0, \cdots, \phi_{m-1}) \in \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-2j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, the Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{cases} \big((-\Delta_g)^m + q\big)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma u = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

has a unique solution $u \in H^m(\Omega)$. Introducing the Neumann-type trace operator $\tilde{\gamma}$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma} : H^m(\Omega) \to \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-(2j+1)-1/2}(\partial\Omega),$$
$$\tilde{\gamma}u = (\partial_\nu u\big|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu((-\Delta_g)u)\big|_{\partial\Omega}, \cdots, \partial_\nu((-\Delta_g)^{m-1}u)\big|_{\partial\Omega}),$$

we define the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m by

$$\Lambda_m \phi = \tilde{\gamma} u,$$

where $u \in H^m(\Omega)$ is the solution to the Dirichlet problem (1.2). It follows from [38] (see also, [27] and [47]) that Λ_m is well defined. Let us also introduce the graph $\mathcal{C}$ of the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m as follows:

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\gamma u, \tilde{\gamma} u) \big| u \in H^m(\Omega),\ \big((-\Delta_g u)^m + q\big)u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Notice that when $m = 1$ and $q \equiv 0$, we recover the standard Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the Schrödinger equation, given by

$$H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \ni \phi \mapsto \partial_\nu u\big|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\Delta_g u = 0$ in Ω and $u = \phi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

The perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is a self-adjoint, elliptic pseudo-differential operator. This operator has been discussed as inverse boundary problems in [38], [19], [37], [27] and [31]. For example, when $m = 1$, the harmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is related to the Calderón problem of determining the anisotropic conductivity of a body from current and voltage measurements at its boundary ([9], [45], [10], [25], [30][57], [58], [4], [1], [30]). Let us remark that the areas of physics and geometry where such operators occur, include the study of fluid mechanics, vibration problems, the Kirchhoff plate equation in the theory of elasticity, and the study of the higher-order Paneitz-Branson operator in conformal geometry (see [22] or [38]). Because $\partial\Omega$ is compact, the spectrum of Λ_m is nonpositive, discrete and unbounded (see p. 95 of [6], [20]). The spectrum $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of this operator is just the perturbed polyharmonic Steklov spectrum of the domain Ω. More precisely,

$$\begin{cases} \big((-\Delta_g)^m + q\big)u_k = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \tilde{\gamma} u_k = -\lambda_k(\gamma u_k), & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where γu_k is the (vector-valued) eigenfunction corresponding to the k-th perturbed polyharmonic Steklov eigenvalue λ_k. All the eigenfunctions $\{\gamma u_k\}$ form an orthogonal basis in $(L^2(\partial\Omega))^m$. The study of the spectrum of Λ_m with $m = 1$ and $q \equiv 0$ was initiated by Steklov in 1902 (see [56]), and has been investigated extensively in the past a century in the literature (see, [49], [34], [18], [53], [59], [58], [42]). We consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \Lambda_m u(t,x) & \text{in } [0,+\infty) \times \partial\Omega, \\ \gamma u(0,x) = \phi(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

$\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y)$ is said to be the heat kernel associated to the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m, if for any fixed $y \in \partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y)}{\partial t} = \Lambda_m \mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y), & t > 0,\ x \in \partial\Omega, \\ \mathcal{K}_m(0,x,y) = \delta(x-y) I_m, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where Λ_m acts on the x variable and $\delta(x-y)$ is the delta function concentrated at y, I_m is the $m\times m$ identity matrix ($\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y)$ is also called the kernel of the semigroup $e^{t\Lambda_m}$). It is well-known that there exists a matrix-valued heat kernel $\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y)$ such that

$$e^{t\Lambda_m}\phi(x)=\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y)\phi(y)dy,\quad \text{for any } \phi\in(C^\infty(\partial\Omega))^m. \tag{1.7}$$

As be showed in [26], the trace of the associated heat kernel admits an asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-t\lambda_k I_m}=Tr\,e^{t\Lambda_m}\sim&\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\bigg(\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,l}(x)dx\bigg)t^{-n+l}\\&+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\bigg(\int_{\partial\Omega}b_{m,l}(x)dx\bigg)t^l\log t.\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

The matrix-valued coefficients $\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,l}(x)dx$ and $\int_{\partial\Omega}b_{m,l}(x)dx$ are called the perturbed polyharmonic Steklov heat invariants, and it follows from (1.8) that they are determined by the perturbed polyharmonic Steklov spectrum.

Heat invariants are metric invariants of the given manifold $\partial\Omega$ and contain a lot of information about its geometry and topology (see [51], [43], [33], [23], [17], etc.). Higher coefficients are of a considerable interest to physicists since they are connected with many notions of quantum gravity (see, for example, [21], [2]). Computation of heat invariants is also a challenging problem in spectral geometry (see [26], [59], [23], [42], [51], [16]). This problem is quite similar to the well-known Kac problem for the Laplacian on a domain (The Kac question asks: is it possible to hear the shape of a domain just by hearing all of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian? see [5], [7], [15], [32], [33], [39], [3], [44], [2], [60], [55], [54], [14], [11], [46], [12] and the references therein). However, the complexity of explicit formulas for $a_{m,l}(x)$ is increasing very rapidly with the growth of l. For $m=1$ and $q\equiv 0$, Polterovich and Sher [51] calculated the first three coefficients $a_{1,l}(x)$ $(0\le l\le 2)$, and the author of the present paper [43] obtained the first four coefficients $a_{1,l}(x)$ $(0\le l\le 3)$ by a completely different method.

In this paper, we establish a procedure, from which all coefficients $a_{m,l}(x)$ of the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel associated to the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator can be calculated as $t\to 0^+$. In particular, we also explicitly obtain the first four coefficients $a_{m,l}(x,n)$ $(m\ge 1,\,0\le l\le 3)$ of the asymptotic expansion. This provides the information for the area and curvatures of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ by the spectrum of perturbed polyharmonic Steklov problem.

The main ideas are as follows: we first rewrite the perturbed polyharmonic operator as a second order system. By a method of factorization we calculate the full symbol of Λ_m. This method comes from Krupchyk, Lassas and Uhlmann [37] (also see, an earlier paper [45] of Lee and Uhlmann), in which they calculated the full symbol in the Euclidean domain. Next, we calculate the full symbol of the resolvent operator $(-\Lambda_m-\tau I_m)^{-1}$. However, the computational complexity is amazing high with the growth of l. In order to overcome this difficult, we decompose the symbol of $(-\Lambda_m-\tau I_m)^{-1}$ into two components: one part depends on the matrix J_m (see Section 3), another part is independent of J_m. The first part can be directly calculated, and the second part can be obtained from the author's result in [43]. Finally, by symbol approximation for the formula $e^{t\Lambda_m}=\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma(-\Lambda_m-\tau I_m)^{-1}e^{-t\tau}d\tau$, we eventually obtain the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x)dS(x)=&\,t^{-n}\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,0}(x,n)\,dS(x)+t^{1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,1}(n,x)\,dS(x)\\&+\cdots+t^{M-1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,M-1}(n,x)\,dS(x)+\begin{cases}O(t^{M-n})I_m, & n>M-1\\ O(t\log t)I_m, & n=M-1\end{cases}\qquad \text{as } t\to 0^+,\end{aligned}$$

where M can be taken as $2, 3, 4, \cdots$ with $n \geq M-1$, and the notation $O(t^j)$ (respectively, $O(t\log t)$) denotes a function which satisfies $|O(t^j)| \leq c_0 t^j$ (respectively, $|O(t\log t)| \leq c_0 t\log t$) for some constant $c_0 > 0$ and all $t > 0$. The first coefficient matrix $a_{m,0}(n,x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} I_m$ is independent of x. The second coefficient matrix $a_1(n,x)$ depends only on the "area" $\mathrm{vol}(\partial\Omega)$ and the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. The coefficient matrix $a_2(n,x)$ depends not only on the "area" $\mathrm{vol}(\partial\Omega)$ and the principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_n$, but also on the scalar curvature $\tilde{R}_\Omega$ (respectively $R_{\partial\Omega}$) of Ω (respectively $\partial\Omega$). Finally the fourth coefficient matrix $a_3(n,x)$ depends on $\mathrm{vol}(\partial\Omega)$, the principal curvatures, the Ricci tensor $\tilde{R}_{jj}$ (respectively R_{jj}) and the scalar curvature $\tilde{R}_\Omega$ (respectively $R_{\partial\Omega}$ of Ω (respectively $\partial\Omega$) as well as the covariant derivative $\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{R}_{j(n+1)j(n+1),(n+1)}$ of the Ricci curvature with respect to $(\bar{\Omega}, g)$ (see Theorem 3.1). Generally, $a_{m,M-1}(n,x)$ can all be represented in terms of the geometical quantities (see, (ii) of Remark 3.2). This asymptotic expansion shows that one can hear the "area" of $\partial\Omega$ and all $\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,M-1}(n,x)dS(x)$ $(M = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ by "hearing" all of the Steklov eigenvalues. Since $\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,M-1}(n,x)dS(x)$ $(M \geq 1)$ are all spectral invariants, it immediately follows that two domains with different spectral invariants can never have the same perturbed polyharmonic Steklov spectrum.

2. Full symbol of the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

We rewrite the equation (1.2) as a second order system. Introducing

$$u_1 = u,\ u_2 = (-\Delta_g)u,\ \cdots,\ u_m = (-\Delta_g)^{m-1}u,$$

we get

$$\big(-\Delta_g \otimes I_m + J_m\big)U = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2.1}$$

where $U = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m)^t$ and

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \\ q(x) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The graph of the above system (2.1) is defined as

$$\{(U\big|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu U\big|_{\partial\Omega})\big|\, U \in \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} H^{m-2j-1/2}(\partial\Omega),\ \ U \ \text{ solves } (2.1)\}.$$

Obviously, the graph of the system (2.1) and the graph of the equation (1.3) coincide.

We will compute full symbol of the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m by following the method of [37]. When considering the system (2.1) near the boundary, we make use of the boundary normal coordinates. For each $z \in \partial\Omega$, let x_{n+1} denote the parameter along the unit-speed geodesic starting at z with initial direction given by the inward boundary normal to $\partial\Omega$. If $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ are any local coordinates for $\partial\Omega$ near $z \in \partial\Omega$, we can extend them smoothly to functions on a neighborhood of z in Ω by letting them be constant along each normal geodesic. It follows easily that (x, x_{n+1}) form coordinates for $\bar{\Omega}$ in some neighborhood

of z, which we call the boundary normal coordinates determined by x. In these coordinates $x_{n+1} > 0$ in Ω, and $\partial\Omega$ is locally characterized by $x_{n+1} = 0$ (see, [45] or [59]). Then the metric tensor on $\bar{\Omega}$ has the form (see, p. 532 of [59])

$$\big(g_{jk}(x, x_{n+1})\big)_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} (h_{jk}(x, x_{n+1}))_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

In what follows, we will use the notations $\partial_{x_j} = \partial/\partial x_j$, $D_{x_j} = -i\partial_{x_j}$, and $D = (D_{x_1}, \cdots, D_{x_n}, D_{x_{n+1}})$. The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g on Ω is given in local coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g u &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sqrt{|g|}\, g^{jk} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_{n+1}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log |h|}{\partial x_{n+1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n+1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \left(h^{jk} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} h^{jk} \frac{\partial \log |h|}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial h^{jk}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So one can write (see, p. 1101 of [45])

$$-\Delta_g = D_{x_{n+1}}^2 + iE(x, x_{n+1}) D_{x_{n+1}} + Q(x, x_{n+1}, D_x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, x_{n+1}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h^{jk}(x, x_{n+1}) \frac{\partial h_{jk}(x, x_{n+1})}{\partial x_{n+1}}, \\ Q(x, x_{n+1}, D_x) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h^{jk}(x, x_{n+1}) D_{x_j} D_{x_k} \\ &\quad - i \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} h^{jk}(x, x_{n+1}) \frac{\partial \log |h(x, x_{n+1})|}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial h^{jk}(x, x_{n+1})}{\partial x_j} \right) D_{x_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The principal part Q_2 of Q is given by

$$Q_2(x, x_{n+1}, D_x) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h^{jk}(x, x_{n+1}) D_{x_j} D_{x_k}.$$

Here (h^{jk}) is the inverse of the matrix (h_{jk}). In the boundary normal coordinates, the operator in the system (2.1) has the form

$$P(x, x_{n+1}, D) := \big(D_{x_{n+1}}^2 + iE(x, x_{n+1}) D_{x_{n+1}} + Q(x, x_{n+1}, D_x)\big) \otimes I_m + J_m. \tag{2.3}$$

The following result is due to Krupchyk, Lassas and Uhlmann (see, Proposion 4.2 of [37]).

Lemma 2.1. *There is a matrix-valued pseudodifferential operator $B(x, x_{n+1}, D_x)$ of order one in x depending smoothly on x_{n+1} such that*

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, x_{n+1}, D) = &\big(D_{x_{n+1}} \otimes I_m + iE(x, x_{n+1}) \otimes I_m - iB(x, x_{n+1}, D_x)\big) \\ &\times \big(D_{x_{n+1}} \otimes I_m + iB(x, x_{n+1}, D_x)\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

modulo a smoothing operator. Here $B(x, x_{n+1}, D_x)$ is unique modulo a smoothing term, if we require that its principal symbol is given by $-\sqrt{Q_2(x, x_{n+1}, \xi)}\, I_m$.

By combining (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$B^2(x,x_{n+1},D_x)+i[D_{x_{n+1}}\otimes I_m,B(x,x_{n+1},D_x)]-E(x,x_{n+1})B(x,x_{n+1},D_x) = Q(x,x_{n+1},D_x)\otimes I_m+J_m, \tag{2.5}$$

modulo a smoothing operator. Let us write the full symbol of $B(x,x_{n+1},D_x)$ as

$$B(x,x_{n+1},\xi)\sim\sum_{j=-\infty}^{1}\tilde{r}_j(x,x_{n+1},\xi),$$

with $\tilde{r}_j$ taking values in $m\times m$ matrices with entries homogeneous of degree j in $\xi=(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, (2.5) implies (see [37]) that

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{2}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j+k-|\alpha|=l\\ |\alpha|\geq 0,\ j,k\leq 1}}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_jD_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_k\Big)-E(x,x_{n+1})\sum_{j=-\infty}^{1}\tilde{r}_j(x,x_{n+1},\xi) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{1}\partial_{x_{n+1}}\tilde{r}_j(x,x_{n+1},\xi)=Q_2(x,x_{n+1},\xi)I_m+Q_1(x,x_{n+1},\xi)I_m+J_m. \tag{2.6}$$

Here $Q_1(x,x_{n+1},\xi)=Q(x,x_{n+1},\xi)-Q_2(x,x_{n+1},\xi)$ is homogeneous of degree one in ξ. Equating the terms homogeneous of degree two in (2.6), we get

$$\tilde{r}_1^2(x,x_{n+1},\xi)=Q_2(x,x_{n+1},\xi)I_m,$$

so we may choose $\tilde{r}_1(x,x_{n+1},\xi)$ such that (see [37])

$$\tilde{r}_1(x,x_{n+1},\xi)=-\sqrt{Q_2(x,x_{n+1},\xi)}\,I_m. \tag{2.7}$$

Equating the terms homogeneous of degree one in (2.6), we have an equation

$$2\tilde{r}_1\tilde{r}_0+\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_1-E\tilde{r}_1+\partial_{x_{n+1}}\tilde{r}_1=Q_1(x,x_{n+1},\xi)I_m.$$

By considering the terms homogeneous of degree zero in (2.6), we get

$$\tilde{r}_0^2+2\tilde{r}_{-1}\tilde{r}_1+\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_0+\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_0\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\big)+\sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_1 -E\tilde{r}_0+\partial_{x_{n+1}}\tilde{r}_0=J_m. \tag{2.8}$$

Also, from the terms homogeneous of degree -1 in (2.6), it follows that

$$2(\tilde{r}_{-2}\tilde{r}_1+\tilde{r}_{-1}\tilde{r}_0)+\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_0\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_0+\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_{-1}+\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_{-1}\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\big) +\sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_0\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_1+\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_0\big)+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\,\partial_\xi^\alpha\tilde{r}_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\tilde{r}_1 -E\tilde{r}_{-1}+\partial_{x_{n+1}}\tilde{r}_{-1}=0. \tag{2.9}$$

The terms $\tilde{r}_j$, $j\leq -3$, are chosen in a similar fashion, by equating terms of degree of homogeneity $j+1$ in (2.6) (cf. [37]).

Clearly, the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m is just the restriction of $B(x,x_{n+1},D_x)$ to $x_{n+1}=0$. Write the symbol of the operator $-\Lambda_m$ as $r_1+r_0+r_{-1}+\cdots$.

From (2.7)—(2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_1 &= \sqrt{Q_2(x,0,\xi)}\; I_m,\\
r_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}\Big[Q_1(x,0,\xi)I_m - \sum_{|\alpha|=1}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1 + Er_1 - \partial_{x_{n+1}}r_1\Big],\\
r_{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}\Big[- J_m + r_0^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_0 + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1\big)\\
&\qquad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1 - Er_0 + \partial_{x_{n+1}}r_0\Big],\\
r_{-2} &= \frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}\Big[2r_{-1}r_0 + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_0\cdot D_x^\alpha r_0 + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_{-1} + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_{-1}\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1\big)\\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_0\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1 + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_0\big)\\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\,\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1 - Er_{-1} + \partial_{x_{n+1}}r_{-1}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

For the Laplacian Δ_g on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, the first method for derivation of heat kernel asymptotics is due to Seeley ([55]). This method was developed later by Gilkey (see, Theorem 1.3 in [23]) who presented a way to get recursive formulas for the heat invariants. We now apply the method of Seeley [55] (cf. the paper of Gilkey and Grubb [24]) to calculate the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel for the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. The spectrum of $-\Lambda_m$ lies in a cone of slope ϵ about the positive real axis. Let Γ be a path about the cone with slope 2ϵ outside some compact set. For τ on Γ, the operator $(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m)^{-1}$ is a uniformly bounded compact operator from $(L^2(\partial\Omega)^m \to (L^2(\partial\Omega))^m$. The integral

$$
\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\tau I_m}(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m)^{-1}d\tau \tag{2.10}
$$

converges absolutely for $t > 0$ and defines the operator $e^{t\Lambda_m}$. We construct a matrix-valued pseudodifferential operator to approximate the resolvant $(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m)^{-1}$ as follows: let $s(\tau)$ be a matrix-valued pseudodifferential operator of order -1 with parameter τ for which

$$
(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m)s(\tau) = s(\tau)(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m) = I_m.
$$

(Actually, we require that $(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m)s(\tau) - I_m$ and $s(\tau)(-\Lambda_m - \tau I_m) - I_m$ are both pseudodifferential operator of order $-\infty$.) It follows from [24] (see also, [23], [26] or [55]) that such a pseudodifferential operator must have symbol $s_{-1}(x,\xi,\tau) + s_{-2}(x,\xi,\tau) + s_{-3}(x,\xi,\tau) + \cdots$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{-1}(x,\xi,\tau) &= (r_1 - \tau I_m)^{-1},\\
s_{-1-l}(x,\xi,\tau) &= -(r_1 - \tau I_m)^{-1}\left(\sum_{\substack{-l\le k\le 1,\ -l\le j\le -1\\ |\alpha|=l+j+k\ge 0}}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\,\partial_\xi^\alpha r_k\cdot D_x^\alpha s_j\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

For the sake of convenience, we write out the expressions for s_{-2}, s_{-3} and s_{-4}:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{-2} &= -(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1} r_0 s_{-1} - (r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1},\\
s_{-3} &= -(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1} \Big[r_0 s_{-2} + r_{-1} s_{-1} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-2} + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1} \big)\\
&\qquad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1} \Big],\\
s_{-4} &= -(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1} \Big[r_0 s_{-3} + r_{-1} s_{-2} + r_{-2} s_{-1}\\
&\qquad + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-3} + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-2} + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_{-1} \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1} \big)\\
&\qquad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-2} + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1} \big) + \sum_{|\alpha|=3} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1} \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T_x^*(\partial\Omega)$ be the cotangent space at x. It follows from (2.10) that for $m \geq 1,\ 0 \leq l \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{m,l}(x) &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{T_x^*(\partial\Omega)} \int_\Gamma e^{-\tau I_m} s_{-1-l}(x,\xi,\tau) d\tau \, d\xi\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma e^{-\tau} s_{-1-l}(x,\xi,\tau) d\tau \right) d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

3. Calculation of heat invariants

In order to state our main result regarding the relationship between the spectrum of the perturbed polyharmonic Dircichlet-to-Neumann operator and various geometric quantities on $\partial\Omega$, we introduce the following notations: we denote by $\tilde{R}_{jkjk}(x)$ (respectively $R_{jkjk}(x)$), $\tilde{R}_{jj}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \tilde{R}_{jkjk}(x)$ (respectively $R_{jj}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{jkjk}(x)$), $\tilde{R}_\Omega$ (respectively $R_{\partial\Omega}(x)$) the curvature tensor, the Ricci curvature tensor, the scalar curvature with respect to Ω (respectively $\partial\Omega$) at $x \in \partial\Omega$. Denote by $\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{R}_{j(n+1)j(n+1),(n+1)}(x)$ the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor with respect to $(\bar{\Omega}, g)$ in the inward normal direction ν. In addition, we denote by $\kappa_1(x), \cdots, \kappa_n(x)$ the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ at $x \in \partial\Omega$, and $dS(x) = \sqrt{|h(x)|}dx$ the area element of $\partial\Omega$. Recall also that

$$J_m(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_{m-1} \\ q(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is an $(n+1)$-dimensional, smooth Riemannian manifold, and assume that $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y)$ be the heat kernel associated to the perturbed polyharmonic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ_m $(m \geq 1)$.*

(a) If $n \geq 1$, then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x)dx = t^{-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,0}(n,x)\,dS(x) + t^{1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,1}(n,x)\,dS(x) + \begin{cases} O(t^{2-n}) & \text{when } n>1,\\ O(t\log t) & \text{when } n=1, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } t\to 0^+; \tag{3.1}$$

(b) If $n \geq 2$, then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x)dx = t^{-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,0}(n,x)\,dS(x) + t^{1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,1}(n,x)\,dS(x) + t^{2-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,2}(n,x)\,dS(x) + \begin{cases} O(t^{3-n}) & \text{when } n>2,\\ O(t\log t) & \text{when } n=2, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } t\to 0^+; \tag{3.2}$$

(c) If $n \geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x)dx &= t^{-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,0}(n,x)\,dS(x) + t^{1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,1}(n,x)\,dS(x)\\ &+ t^{2-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,2}(n,x)\,dS(x) + t^{3-n}\int_{\partial\Omega} a_{m,3}(n,x)\,dS(x)\\ &+ \begin{cases} O(t^{4-n}) & \text{when } n>3,\\ O(t\log t) & \text{when } n=3, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } t\to 0^+. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here

$$a_{m,0}(n,x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} I_m, \tag{3.4}$$

$$a_{m,1}(n,x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(n)\,vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{2n}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x)\Big) I_m, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m,2}(n,x) &= \frac{\Gamma(n-1)\cdot vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{2(2\pi)^n}\, J_m\\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(n-1)vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{8(2\pi)^n}\left[\frac{3-n}{3n}R_{\partial\Omega} + \frac{n-1}{n}\tilde{R}_\Omega\right.\\ &\left. + \frac{n^3-n^2-4n+6}{n(n+2)}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x)\big)^2 + \frac{n^2-n-2}{n(n+2)}\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j^2(x)\right] I_m \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{m,3}(n,x) = &\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \left(\frac{n-1}{4n}\Gamma(n-1) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(n-2)\right) vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\Big) J_m. \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n-2)}{4} vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \big(\partial_{x_{n+1}} J_m\big) \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n-2)\, vol(S^{n-1})}{8n} \Big[\frac{n^3-2n^2-7n+7}{2(n+2)} \tilde{R}_\Omega(x) \big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x)\big) \\
&+ \frac{-3n^4-4n^3+59n^2+75n-180}{6(n+2)(n+4)} \big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x)\big) R_{\partial\Omega} \\
&+ \frac{n^5-20n^3+2n^2+61n-74}{6(n+2)(n+4)} \big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x)\big)^3 \\
&+ \frac{n^4+8n^3+15n^2+3n-32}{2(n+2)(n+4)} \big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x)\big)\big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j^2(x)\big) \\
&+ \frac{-6n^3-34n^2+40}{3(n+2)(n+4)} \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j^3(x) + \frac{4n^2-6}{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x) \tilde{R}_{jj}(x) \\
&- \frac{12n^3+50n^2-6n-104}{3(n+2)(n+4)} \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j(x) R_{jj}(x) + (n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{R}_{j(n+1)j(n+1),(n+1)}(x) \\
&- \frac{n-2}{2} \tilde{R}_\Omega(x) + \frac{n-2}{2} R_{\partial\Omega} - \frac{n-2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j^2(x) \Big] I_m.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}
$$

Proof. Since $Z = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x) dS(x)$ converges, $e^{t\Lambda_m} : \phi \to \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_m \phi$ is a compact mapping of the (real) Hilbert space $H = (L^2(\partial\Omega, dS(x)))^m$. This implies

$$
\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k I_m} (\gamma u_k(x))(\gamma u_k(y)) \tag{3.8}
$$

with uniform convergence on compact figures of $(0,\infty) \times \partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega$, and the spur Z is easily evaluated as (see, for example, Chapter 4 of [26])

$$
Z = \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k I_m} (\gamma u_k(x))^2 dS(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k I_m}. \tag{3.9}
$$

Thus, our task is to estimate the pole $\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x)$ for $t \downarrow 0$, up to needed remainder term.

Let us split r_j $(j \le -1)$ into two components r_j' and r_j'', where r_j' depends on J_m, and r_j'' is independent of J_m (here r_j are given in Section 2). We further choose our boundary normal coordinates frame such that the second fundamental form is diagonal. Thus, for any fixed point $p \in \partial\Omega$ whose coordinate is $(x_0, 0)$, by taking x_0-centered normal coordinates of $\partial\Omega$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_l}(x_0,0) = \frac{1}{2} r_1^{-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial h^{jk}}{\partial x_l}(x_0,0) = 0. \tag{3.10}
$$

It then follows that $\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial x_{n+1}}(x_0,0)\Big)_{n\times n}$ is the matrix of Weingarten's map under a basis of $T_0(\partial\Omega)$ at x_0, and its eigenvalues are just the principal curvatures $\kappa_1,\cdots,\kappa_n$ of $\partial\Omega$ at x_0, i.e.,

$$\Big(\frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial x_{n+1}}(x_0,0)\Big)_{n\times n}=\mathrm{diag}(-2\kappa_1,\cdots,-2\kappa_n). \tag{3.11}$$

Noting also that

$$h^{jk}(x_0,0)=\delta^{jk}\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{\partial h^{jk}}{\partial x_{n+1}}(x_0,0)=-\frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial x_{n+1}}(x_0,0)=2\kappa_j\delta_{jk}. \tag{3.12}$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1(x_0,\xi)&=\sqrt{Q_2(x,0,\xi)}\,I_m=\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^n h^{jk}(x_0)\xi_j\xi_k}\,I_m=r_1''(x_0,\xi),\\
r_0(x_0,\xi)&=\Big[\frac{-i}{8Q_2^{3/2}}\sum_{l=1}^n\Big(\sum_{j,k=1}^n h^{jk}\big(\delta^{lj}\xi_k+\delta^{lk}\xi_j\big)\Big)\Big(\sum_{j,k=1}^n\frac{\partial h^{jk}}{\partial x_l}\xi_j\xi_k\Big)+\frac{1}{4Q_2}\sum_{j,k=1}^n\frac{\partial h^{jk}}{\partial x_{n+1}}\xi_j\xi_k\\
&\quad+\frac{i}{2\sqrt{Q_2}}\sum_{j,k=1}^n\Big(\frac{1}{2}h^{jk}\frac{\partial\log|h|}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial h^{jk}}{\partial x_j}\Big)\xi_k+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{j,k=1}^n h^{jk}\frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial x_{n+1}}\Big]I_m=r_0''(x_0,\xi),\\
r_{-1}(x_0,\xi)&=-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}\Bigg(r_0^2+\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_0+\partial_\xi^\alpha r_0\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1\big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1-Er_0+\partial_{x_{n+1}}r_0\Bigg)\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+r_{-1}''(x_0,\xi),\\
r_{-2}(x_0,\xi)&=\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}\Big[2\big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+r_{-1}''\big)r_0+\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\Big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_0\cdot D_x^\alpha r_0+\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha\big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+r_{-1}''\big)\\
&\qquad+\partial_\xi^\alpha\big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+r_{-1}''\big)\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1\Big)+\sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_0\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1+\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_0\big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha r_1-E\big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+r_{-1}''\big)+\partial_{x_{n+1}}\big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m+r_{-1}''\big)\Big]\\
&=\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}\Big[-r_1^{-1}J_mr_0-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot r_1^{-1}D_x^\alpha J_m\big)\\
&\qquad+\frac{E}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_{n+1}}\big(r_1^{-1}J_m\big)\Big]+r_{-2}''(x_0,\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can split s_j $(j\le-1)$ into two parts, the first part s_j' depends on J_m and the second part s_j'' is independent of J_m, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_{-1}=(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}=s_{-1}'',\\
&s_{-2}=-(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_0s_{-1}-(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1\cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1}=s_{-2}'',
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{-3} &= -(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}\Big[r_0 s_{-2} + \big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m + r'_{-1}\big)s_{-1} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}\big(\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-2} \\
&\quad + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1}\big) + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1}\Big] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}J_m s_{-1} + s'_{-3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{-4} &= -(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}\Big\{r_0\big(\frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}J_m s_{-1} + s'_{-3}\big) \\
&\quad + \big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m + r'_{-1}\big)s_{-2} + r_{-2}s_{-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}\Big[\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha\big(\frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}J_m s_{-1} + s'_{-3}\big) \\
&\quad + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-2} + \partial_\xi^\alpha\big(-\frac{1}{2}r_1^{-1}J_m + r'_{-1}\big)\cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1}\Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\Big[\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-2} + \partial_\xi^\alpha r_0 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1}\Big] + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}\frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha r_1 \cdot D_x^\alpha s_{-1}\Big\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_0(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}J_m s_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}J_m s_{-2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-2}J_m r_0 s_{-1} + \frac{1}{4}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}\big(\partial_\xi r_1 \cdot r_1^{-1}D_x J_m\big)s_{-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{E}{4}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-2}J_m s_{-1} - \frac{1}{8}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-4}\frac{\partial r_1^2}{\partial x_{n+1}}J_m s_{-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-2}(\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m)s_{-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}\big(\partial_\xi r_1 \cdot (r_1-\tau I_m)^{-1}r_1^{-1}D_x J_m\big)s_{-1} + s'_{-4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, by applying the properties (3.10)—(3.12) on the boundary normal coordinates once more, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_1(x_0,\xi) &= |\xi| I_m = r'_1(x_0,\xi), \qquad r_0(x_0,\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \xi_j^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\right) I_m = r'_0(x_0,\xi), \\
r_{-1}(x_0,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{2|\xi|}J_m + r'_{-1}(x_0,\xi), \\
r_{-2}(x_0,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\left(\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\xi_j^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\right)J_m - \frac{1}{4|\xi|^3}\sum_{l=1}^n \xi_l (D_{x_l}J_m) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\big)J_m + \frac{1}{4|\xi|^4}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\xi_j^2\big)J_m - \frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m + r'_{-2}(x_0,\xi) \\
&= \frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j\big)J_m - \frac{1}{4|\xi|^3}\sum_{l=1}^n \xi_l (D_{x_l}J_m) - \frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m + r'_{-2}(x_0,\xi).
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&s_{-1}=s''_{-1}=(|\xi|-\tau)^{-1}I_m,\\
&s_{-2}=s''_{-2}=-(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}\Big(\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\xi_j^2-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\Big)I_m,\\
&s_{-3}=\frac{1}{2|\xi|}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}J_m+s''_{-3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{-4}=&-\frac{1}{2|\xi|}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}\Big(\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\xi_j^2-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\Big)J_m\\
&-\frac{1}{2|\xi|}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}\Big(\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\xi_j^2-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\Big)J_m\\
&-\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\big)J_m+\frac{1}{4|\xi|^3}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\xi_l(D_{x_l}J_m)\\
&+\frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}(\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m)-\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\xi_l(D_{x_l}J_m)+s''_{-4}\\
=&\Big[-\frac{1}{|\xi|}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}\big(\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\xi_j^2-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}(\xi|-\tau)^{-2}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_j\big)\Big]J_m+\frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}(\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m)\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{4|\xi|^3}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}-\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}\Big)\sum_{l=1}^{n}\xi_l(D_{x_l}J_m)+s''_{-4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the standard spherical coordinates transform, it is easy to verify (also see [43]) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi_j^2\big)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\xi_k^{\alpha}\,e^{-\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi_j^2}}d\xi=\begin{cases}\Gamma(n+\beta)\,vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) & \text{for } \alpha=0\\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha=1,\end{cases}\quad n\geq 1,\\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi_j^2\big)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}\xi_k\xi_l\,e^{-\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi_j^2}}d\xi=\begin{cases}\frac{\Gamma(n+\beta)\,vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{n} & \text{for } k=l\\ 0 & \text{for } k\neq l,\end{cases}\quad n\geq 2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

The following Cauchy's differentiation formula will be used, which states that for any complex analytic function f.

$$
\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{f(\tau)}{(z-\tau)^{k+1}}d\tau=\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}f^{(k)}(z).
\tag{3.15}
$$

In view of (2.11), (3.14) and (3.15), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{m,l}(x,n)&=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma}e^{-\tau}s_{-1-l}(x,\xi,\tau)d\tau\Big)d\xi\\
&=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma}e^{-\tau}s''_{-1-l}(x,\xi,\tau)d\tau\Big)d\xi=a''_{1,l}(x,n),\quad l=0,1,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.16}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{m,2}(x,n) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-\tau}s_{-3}(x,\xi,\tau)d\tau\Big)d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-\tau}\big(\frac{1}{2|\xi|}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}J_m + s''_{-3}\big)d\tau\Big)d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{2|\xi|}e^{-|\xi|}J_m\,d\xi + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-\tau}s''_{-3}d\tau\Big)d\xi \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(n-1)\cdot vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{2(2\pi)^n}\,J_m + a''_{1,2}(x,n),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{m,3}(x,n) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-\tau}s_{-4}(x,\xi,\tau)d\tau\Big)d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-\tau}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{2|\xi|}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j - \frac{1}{2|\xi|^3}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j\xi_j^2\Big)(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}J_m \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j\big)J_m + \frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2}(\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m) \\
&\quad +\Big(\frac{1}{4|\xi|^3}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-2} - \frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}(|\xi|-\tau)^{-3}\Big)\sum_{l=1}^n\xi_l(D_{x_l}J_m) + s''_{-4}\Big]d\tau\,d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{-|\xi|}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{4|\xi|}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j - \frac{1}{4|\xi|^3}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j\xi_j^2 - \frac{1}{2|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j\Big)J_m \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}(\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m) + \big(\frac{1}{4|\xi|^3} - \frac{1}{4|\xi|^2}\big)\sum_{l=1}^n\xi_l(D_{x_l}J_m)\Big]\,d\xi \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma e^{-\tau}s''_{-4}d\tau\Big)d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\Big[\Big(\frac{n-1}{4n}\Gamma(n-1) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(n-2)\Big)\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j\big)J_m \\
&\quad +\frac{\Gamma(n-2)}{4}(\partial_{x_{n+1}}J_m)\Big]vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) + a''_{1,3}(x,n).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.18}
$$

By comparing the symbol equations (1.5) of [45] (or, the symbol expression on p. 1103 of [45]) with (2.6), and by applying theorem 6.1 of [43] we immediately see that

$$
a''_{1,0}(n,x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}I_m,
\tag{3.19}
$$

$$
a''_{1,1}(n,x) = \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\Big)^n\,\frac{(n-1)\Gamma(n)\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{2n}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\Big)I_m,
\tag{3.20}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a''_{1,2}(n,x) &= \frac{\Gamma(n-1)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{8(2\pi)^n}\Big[\frac{3-n}{3n}R_{\partial\Omega} + \frac{n-1}{n}\tilde{R}_\Omega \\
&\quad +\frac{n^3-n^2-4n+6}{n(n+2)}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\big)^2 + \frac{n^2-n-2}{n(n+2)}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j^2(x)\Big]\,I_m
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.22)\qquad a''_{1,3}(n,x)=&\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n\frac{\Gamma(n-2)\,\mathrm{vol}(S^{n-1})}{8n}\Big[\frac{n^3-2n^2-7n+7}{2(n+2)}\tilde{R}_\Omega(x)\,\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\big)\\
&+\frac{-3n^4-4n^3+59n^2+75n-180}{6(n+2)(n+4)}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\big)R_{\partial\Omega}\\
&+\frac{n^5-20n^3+2n^2+61n-74}{6(n+2)(n+4)}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\big)^3\\
&+\frac{n^4+8n^3+15n^2+3n-32}{2(n+2)(n+4)}\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\big)\big(\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j^2(x)\big)\\
&+\frac{-6n^3-34n^2+40}{3(n+2)(n+4)}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j^3(x)+\frac{4n^2-6}{n+2}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)\tilde{R}_{jj}(x)\\
&-\frac{12n^3+50n^2-6n-104}{3(n+2)(n+4)}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j(x)R_{jj}(x)+(n-1)\sum_{j=1}^n\tilde{R}_{j(n+1)j(n+1),(n+1)}(x)\\
&-\frac{n-2}{2}\tilde{R}_\Omega(x)+\frac{n-2}{2}R_{\partial\Omega}-\frac{n-2}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\kappa_j^2(x)\Big]I_m.
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting (3.19)—(3.22) into (3.16)—(3.18) we get the desired result for $a_{m,l}(x,n)$, $(m\geq 1,\ 0\leq l\leq 3)$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. (i) Our asymptotic expansion is sharp because the asymptotic expansion (1.8) holds for $t\to 0^+$ (see, (4.2.62) of [26]). In particular, when $m=1$ and $q\equiv 0$ we recover the results in [43] for a_0,a_1,a_3,a_4, and in [51] for a_0,a_1,a_2.

(ii) By our method, we can also get the asymptotic expansion for any integer $M>4$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.23)\qquad \int_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{K}_m(t,x,x)dS(x)=&\,t^{-n}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}\int_{\partial\Omega}I_m\,dS(x)+t^{1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,1}(n,x)\,dS(x)\\
&+t^{2-n}\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,2}(n,x)\,dS(x)+\cdots+t^{M-1-n}\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{m,M-1}(n,x)\,dS(x)\\
&+\begin{cases}O(t^{M-n})I_m & \text{when } n>M-1,\\ O(t\log t)I_m & \text{when } n=M-1,\end{cases}\qquad \text{as } t\to 0^+,
\end{aligned}
$$

if we further calculate the lower-order symbol equations for the operator Λ_m.

(iii) The above asymptotic expansion shows that one can "hear" all heat invariants $\int_{\partial\Omega}a_{M-1}(n,x)dS(x)$ $(M=1,2,3,\cdots)$ by "hearing" all of the perturbed polyharmonic Steklov eigenvalues.

(iv) By applying the Tauberian theorem (see, for example, Theorem 15.3 of p. 30 of [35]) for the first term on the right side of (3.1), we immediately get the Weyl-type law for the perturbed polyharmonic Steklov eigenvalues (when $m=1$ and $q\equiv 0$, it is just Sandgren's asymptotic formula, see [53]):

$$
(3.24)\qquad N(\lambda)=\#\{k\big|\lambda_k\leq\lambda\}=\left[\frac{\omega_n\big(\mathrm{vol}(\partial\Omega)\big)\lambda^n}{(2\pi)^n}+o(\lambda^n)\right]\quad \text{as } \lambda\to+\infty.
$$

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor L. Nirenberg and Professor Fang-Hua Lin for their support and help. This research was supported by SRF for ROCS, SEM (No. 2004307D01) and NNSF of China (11171023/A010801).

References

1. G. Alessandrini, *Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurements*. Appl. Anal., (1-3), 27(1988), 153-172.
2. W. Arendt, R. Nittka, W. Peter, F. Steiner, *Weyl's Law: Spectral properties of the Laplacian in mathematics and physics*, Mathematical Analysis of Evolution, Information, and Complexity. Edited by Wolfgang Arendt and Wolfgang P. Schleich, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH&Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2009.
3. M. Ashbaugh, F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea and G. Teschl, *Spectral theory for perturbed Krein Laplacians in nonsmooth domains*, Adv. in Math., 223 (2010), 1372-1467.
4. K. Astala and L. Päivärinta, *Calderón's inverse conductivity problem in the plane*, Ann. Math., 163(2006), 265-299.
5. P. B. Bailey and F. H. Brownell, *Removal of the log factor in the asymptotic estimates of polyhedral membrane eigenvalues*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 4 (1962), 212-239.
6. C. Bandle, *Isoperimetric inequalities and applications*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, vol. 7, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass., 1980.
7. M. van den Berg, *On the asymptotics of the heat equation and bounds on traces associated with the Dirichlet Laplacian*, J. Funct. Anal., 71(1987), 279-293.
8. R. M. Brown, . *Global uniqueness in the impedance-imaging problem for less regular conductivities*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., no.4, 27(1996), 1049C1056.
9. A. P. Calderón, *On an inverse boundary value problem*, in: Seminar in Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics, Soc. Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro, 1980, pp. 65-73.
10. S. Chanillo, *A problem in electrical prospection and ann-dimensional Borg-Levinson theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 3, 108(1990), 761-767.
11. I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, 1984.
12. B. Chow, P. Lu and L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Science Press, Beijing, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
13. C. Clark, *The asymptotic distributions of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for elliptic boundary value problems*, SIAM Rev., 9(1967), 627-646.
14. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, Vol.1, Interscience publishers, New York, 1953.
15. R. Courant, *über die eigenwerte bei der Differentialgleichungen der Mathematischen Physik*, Math. Z., 7(1920), 1-57.
16. K. Datchev and H. Hezari, *Inverse problems in spectral geometry*, arXiv: 1108.5755 [math.SP], 2011.
17. J. Edward and S. Wu, *Determinant of the Neumann operator on smooth Jordan curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), no. 2, 357-363.
18. D. W. Fox et J. R. Kuttler, *Sloshing frequencies*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 34 (1983), 668-696.
19. D. Dos Santos Ferreira, C. Kenig, M. Salo, G. Uhlmann, *Limiting Carleman weights and anisotropic inverse problems*, Invent. Math. 178(2009), no. 1, 119-171.
20. A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *The first Steklov eigenvalues, conformal geometry and minimal surfaces*, Advances in Math. 226(2011), 4011-4030.
21. S. A. Fulling, editor, *Heat Kernel Techniques and Quantum Gravity*, Winnipeg, Canada 1994, published as vol. 4 of Discourses in Mathematics and Its Applications, Dept. of Math., Texas A & M University, College Station, TX, 1995.
22. F. Gazzola, E.-C. Grunau G. Sweers, *Polyharmonic boundary value problems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
23. P. B. Gilkey, *The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold*, J. Differential Geometry, 10(1975), 601-618.
24. P. Gilkey and G. Grubb, *Logarithmic terms in asymptotic expansions of heat operator traces*. Comm. in PDE 23(1998), no. 5-6, 777-792.

25. A. Greenleaf, M. Lassas, G. Uhlmann, *The Caldern problem for conormal potentials, I. Global uniqueness and reconstruction*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., no. 3, 56(2003), 328-352.
26. G. Grubb, *Functional calculus of pseudo-differential boundary problems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986.
27. G. Grubb, *Distributions and operators*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol.252, Springer, New York, 2009.
28. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of partial differential operators* III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1985.
29. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of partial differential operators* IV, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1985.
30. D. Isaacson, J. Mueller and S. Siltanen (eds), *Biomedical applications of electrical impedance tomography Physiol. Meas.*, 24(2003), 391-638.
31. V. Isakov, *Completeness of products of solutions and some inverse problems for PDE*, J. Differential Equations 92(1991), no. 2, 305-316.
32. V. Ya. Ivrii, *Second term of the spectral asymptotic expansion of the Laplace- Beltrami operator on Manifolds with boundary*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh, 14, no.2, 25-34. English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl., 14(1980), 98-106.
33. M. Kac, *Can one hear the shape of a drum?*, Amer. Math. Monthly (Slaught Mem. Papers, no. 11), 73(4)(1966),1-23.
34. N. D. Kopachevsky, S.G. Krein, *Operator approach to linear problems of hydrodynamics*. Vol. 1, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 128, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
35. J. Korevaa, *Tauberian Theory: A Century of Developments*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004.
36. K. Krupchyk, L.äivärinta, *A Borg-Levinson theorem for higher order elliptic operators*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2012, no. 6, 1321-1351.
37. K. Krupchyk, M. Lassas and G. Uhlmann, *Inverse boundary value problems for the perturbed polyharmonic operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 366(2013), 95-112.
38. K. Krupchyk and G. Uhlmann, *Inverse boundary problems for polyharmonic operators with unbounded potentials*, arXiv:1308.3782 [math.AP], 2013.
39. M. L. Lapidus and J. Fleckinger-Pelle, *Tambour fractale. vers une resolution de la conjecture de Weyl-Berry pour les valeurs propres du Laplacien*, C. R. Acad. Sei. Paris Ser. I, 306 (1988), 171-175.
40. J. Lee and G. Uhlmann, *Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements*, CPAM 42(1989), 1097-1112.
41. J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1972.
42. G. Q. Liu, *The Weyl-type asymptotic formula for biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math., 228 (2011), 2162-2217.
43. G. Q. Liu, *One can hear the area and curvature of boundary of a domain by hearing the Steklov eigenvalues*, arXiv: 1306.0272v1 (2013).
44. G. G. Lorentz, *Beweis des Gausschen Intergralsatzes*, Math. Z., 51(1949), 61-81.
45. J. M. Lee and G. Uhlmann, *Determing anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 42(1989), 1097-1112.
46. H. P. McKean and I. M. Singer, *Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Differential Geometry, 1(1967), 43-69.
47. W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
48. R. G. Novokov, *A multidimensional inverse spectral problem for the equation* $-\Delta\phi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$, Funksional Annal. i Prilozhen, no.4, 22(1988), 11-22, Translation in Func. Anal. Appl., 22(1988), 263-272.
49. L. E. Payne, *Isoperimetric inequalities and their applications*, SIAM Rev. 9(3) (1967), 453-488.
50. Å. Pleijel, *A study of certain Green's functions with applications in the theory of vibrating membranes*. Ark. Mat., 2(1954), 553-569.
51. I. Polterovich abd D. A. Sher, *Heatinvariants of the Steklov problem*, arXiv; 1304.7233 (2013), to appear in J. Geom. Analysis.
52. Yu. Safarov and D. Vassiliev, *The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of partial differential operators*, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
53. L. Sandgren, *A vibration problem*, Meddelanden frÅn Lunds Universitets Matematiska Seminarium, Band 13, 1955, 1-83.
54. P. Sarnak, *Spectra of hyperbolic surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., no.4, 40(2003), 441-478.
55. R. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 10(1967), 288-307.
56. W. Steklov [V. A. Steklov], *Sur les problmes fondamentaux de la physique mathmatique*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 19(1902), 455-490.

57. J. Sylvcster and G. Uhlmaun, *A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. Math., 125 (1987), 153-169.
58. J. Sylvester and G. Uhlmann, *The Dirichlet to Neumann map and applications*, in: Inverse problems in partial differential equations, Edited by David Colton, the Society for Industrial and Applications, 1990.
59. M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations II*, Appl. Math. Sci., vol. 116, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
60. H. Weyl, *Über die Abhängigkeit der Eigenschwingungen einer Membran und deren Begrenzung*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 141(1912), 1-11.
61. H. Weyl, *Des asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Ann., 71(1912), 441-479.